



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/799,048

03/11/2004

Shubhasheesh Anand

50269-0578

6738

73066

7590

03/30/2009

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP/Yahoo! Inc.

2055 Gateway Place

Suite 550

San Jose, CA 95110-1083

EXAMINER

STIBLEY, MICHAEL R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3688

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/30/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/799,048	Applicant(s) ANAND ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL R. STIBLEY	Art Unit 3688	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL R. STIBLEY. (3) BRIAN HICKMAN & DANIEL LEDESMA.

(2) JAMES MYHRE. (4) JOHN CHEN.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: NAQVI WO 97/21183.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed claim amendments. Examiner made suggestions for improving proposed claim amendments in light of In re Bilski and in light of clarity. The prior art of record, NAQVI, appears to be overcome by proposed claim amendments, however, further search and consideration will be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MICHAEL R. STIBLEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3688	/James W Myhre/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3688
---	---